



The Planning Inspectorate
Yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio

Section 55

Acceptance of Applications Checklist

Appendix 3 of [Advice Note Six: Preparation and submission of application documents](#)

Version: January 2019

Great Yarmouth Third River Crossing - Section 55 Acceptance of Applications Checklist

Section 55 of the Planning Act 2008 can be viewed at legislation.gov.uk, here:

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/29/section/55>

DISCLAIMER: This Checklist is for information only and is not a formal application document. It is a non-statutory checklist for the Planning Inspectorate to complete. Completion or self-assessment by the Applicant does not hold weight at the Acceptance stage. Unless specified, **all references to the Planning Inspectorate are made in relation to functions being carried out on behalf of the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government.**

Section 55(2) Acceptance of Applications				
1	Within 28 days (starting day after receipt) the Planning Inspectorate must decide whether or not to accept the application for Examination.	Date received	28 day due date	Date of decision
		30 April 2019	28 May 2019	28 May 2019
Section 55(3) – the Planning Inspectorate may only accept an application if it concludes that:			Planning Inspectorate comments	
Section 55(3)(a) and s55(3)(c): It is an application for an order granting development consent				
2	Is the development a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project ¹ (NSIP) (or does it form part of an NSIP); and does the application state on the face of it that it is an application for a Development Consent Order ² (DCO) under the Planning Act 2008 (the PA2008), or equivalent words? Does the application specify the development to which it relates (ie which category or categories in ss14 to 30 does the Proposed Development fall)? If the development does not fall within the categories in	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Section 4 of the Application Form (Doc 1.3) states:</p> <p><i>“In a direction dated 28 February 2018, under section 35 of the Planning Act 2008, the Secretary of State determined that in his opinion the Great Yarmouth Third River Crossing is of national significance and is to be treated as a development for which development consent is required.”</i></p> <p>A copy of the s35 Direction is provided with the application at Appendix B of the Case for the Scheme (Doc 7.1). It confirms the Secretary of State’s decision that the Proposed Development, and any associated matters, should be treated as</p>		

¹ NSIP is defined generally in s14 with the detailed thresholds for each of the specified categories being set out in ss15 to 30

² Development consent is required for development to the extent that the development is or forms part of an NSIP (s31 of the PA2008)

	ss14 to 30, has a direction been given by the Secretary of State under s35 of the PA2008 for the development to be treated as development for which development consent is required?	development for which development consent is required under the PA2008.
3	Summary: Section 55(3)(a) and s55(3)(c)	The Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Draft DCO (Doc 3.1) includes development for which development consent is required.
Section 55(3)(e): The Applicant in relation to the application made has complied with Chapter 2 of Part 5 (pre-application procedure)		
4	In accordance with the EIA Regulations ³ , did the Applicant (prior to carrying out consultation in accordance with s42) either (a) request the Planning Inspectorate adopt a Screening Opinion in respect of the development to which the application relates, or (b) notify the Planning Inspectorate in writing that it proposed to provide an Environmental Statement in respect of that development?	Yes. On 3 April 2018 the Applicant notified the Planning Inspectorate in accordance with Regulation 8(1)(b) of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 of its intention to provide an Environmental Statement (ES) in respect of the Proposed Development. The notification was received before the start of s42 statutory consultation on 7 September 2018. A copy of the notification letter is provided at Appendix K of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2) .
5	Have any Adequacy of Consultation Representations ⁴ been received from 'A', 'B', 'C' and 'D' local authorities; and if so do they confirm that the Applicant has complied with the duties under s42, s47 and s48?	Yes. There are 10 host and neighbouring authorities, of which 5 responded to the Planning Inspectorate's invitation to make an Adequacy of Consultation Representation (AoCR) by the deadline of 14 May 2019. All 5 responding authorities confirmed in their AoCR that either the Applicant had complied with its duties under s42, s47 and s48 of the PA2008 and/ or that their authority had no comments/ objections to make. These local authorities were: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Broads Authority ('A' authority)

³ Regulation 8 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (2017 EIA Regulations), or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 6 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2009 (2009 EIA Regulations)

⁴ Section 55(4) of the PA2008 provides that the Planning Inspectorate must have regard to the Consultation Report, and any Adequacy of Consultation Representations received

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Broadland District Council ('A' authority) • Great Yarmouth Borough Council ('B' authority) • Norfolk County Council ('C' authority') • Lincolnshire County Council ('D' authority) <p>All AoCRs received have been carefully considered and are available to view on the National Infrastructure Planning website: https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/eastern/great-yarmouth-third-river-crossing/?ipcsection=docs&stage=2</p>
Section 42: Duty to consult		
Did the Applicant consult the applicable persons set out in s42 of the PA2008 about the proposed application?		
6	Section 42(1)(a) persons prescribed ⁵ ?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Applicant has provided a list of persons consulted under s42(1)(a) on 25 October 2018 at Appendix N-3 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2).</p> <p>A sample of the letter sent to s42(1)(a) consultees is provided at Appendix N-1 and N-2 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2).</p> <p>The sample letter provided at Appendix N-1 contains all the required information other than 21 figures from Volume II of the PEIR, these figures were then provided in the sample letter in Appendix N-2.</p>
7	Section 42(1)(aa) the Marine Management Organisation ⁶ ?	Yes.
8	Section 42(1)(b) each local authority within s43 ⁷ ?	Yes.

⁵ Statutory consultees set out in Schedule 1 of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 (APFP Regulations)

⁶ In any case where the Proposed Development would affect, or would be likely to affect, any of the areas specified in s42(2) of the PA2008

⁷ Definition of 'local authority' in s43(3) of the PA2008: The 'B' authority where the application land is in the authority's area; the 'A' authority where any part of the boundary of A's area is also a part of the boundary of B's area; the 'C' authority (upper tier) where the application land is in that authority's area; the 'D' authority (upper tier) where such an authority shares a boundary with a 'C' authority

		<p>Appendix M-3 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2) lists the relevant local authorities that were identified and consulted under s43 and s42(1)(b) on 25 October 2018.</p> <p>The host 'B' authority was consulted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Great Yarmouth Borough Council <p>The host 'C' authority was consulted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Norfolk County Council <p>The boundary 'A' authorities were consulted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Broads Authority • Waveney District Council • South Norfolk Council • North Norfolk District Council • Broadlands District Council <p>The boundary 'D' authorities were consulted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lincolnshire County Council • Cambridgeshire County Council • Suffolk County Council <p>A sample of the letter sent to s42(1)(b) relevant authorities is provided at Appendix M-2 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2).</p>
9	Section 42(1)(c) the Greater London Authority (if in Greater London area)?	N/A
10	Section 42(1)(d) each person in one or more of s44 categories ⁸ ?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Table 6-9 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) states that all persons identified under s42(1)(d) were consulted on week commencing 10 September 2018. The original</p>

⁸ Category 1: owner, lessee, tenant or occupier of land; Category 2: person interested in the land or has power to sell and convey the land or to release the land; Category 3: persons who would or might be entitled to make a relevant claim. There is no requirement on the Planning Inspectorate to check the accuracy of the list(s) provided or whether the Applicant has made diligent inquiry

		<p>consultation deadline was 21 October 2018, this was extended to 9 December 2018 due to missing figures from the PIER. Chapter 7 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) provides further information on how and why this extension took place. All persons were notified of the extension which allowed a full 28 days to review the new information.</p> <p>Paragraphs 6.14 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) summarises how the Applicant made diligent inquiry to seek to identify and consult persons with an interest in lands affected by the Draft DCO (Doc 3.1). The full methodology undertaken by the Applicant is provided in Appendix S of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2).</p> <p>The Applicant's Book of Reference (Doc 4.3) lists the persons consulted under s42(1)(d).</p> <p>A sample of the original and extended consultation letters is provided at Appendix O-1, O-2 and O-3 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2).</p>
Section 45: Timetable for s42 consultation		
11	<p>Did the Applicant notify s42 consultees of the deadline for receipt of consultation responses; and if so, was the deadline notified by the Applicant 28 days or more starting with the day after receipt of the consultation documents?</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>A sample of the original and extended consultation letters sent to s42 consultees is provided at Appendix M-1, M-2, O-1, O-2 and O-3 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2).</p> <p>The sample letters dated 7 September 2018 confirmed that consultation closed on 21 October 2018, the sample letters for the extension, dated 22 October 2018, confirmed the extended consultation closed on 9 December 2018, providing more than the required minimum time for receipt of responses.</p>
Section 46: Duty to notify the Planning Inspectorate of proposed application		
12	<p>Did the Applicant supply information to notify the Planning Inspectorate of the proposed application; and if so was the information supplied to the Planning Inspectorate on or before the date it was sent to the s42 consultees? Was this done on or before commencing consultation under s42?</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Applicant originally gave notice under s46 on 5 September 2018. The Applicant then provided further information in relation to the extended consultation and the missing figures, on 17 October 2018.</p> <p>A copy of the s46 notification letter is provided at Appendix I-1 and I-2 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2) and a copy of s46 notification acknowledgement letter from the Planning Inspectorate can be found on the Planning Inspectorate's website: s46</p>

[notification acknowledgement.](#)

Section 47: Duty to consult local community

13	Did the Applicant prepare a Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) on how it intended to consult people living in the vicinity of the land?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>A copy of the final SoCC is provided at Appendix E-3 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2).</p>
14	Were 'B' and (where relevant) 'C' authorities consulted about the content of the SoCC; and if so was the deadline for receipt of responses 28 days beginning with the day after the day that 'B' and (where applicable) 'C' authorities received the consultation documents?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The Applicant sent the draft SoCC to Great Yarmouth Borough Council ('B Authority') and Norfolk County Council ('C' authority) on 22 May 2018 and set a deadline of 22 June 2018 for responses; providing more than the required minimum time for responses to be received.</p>
15	Has the Applicant had regard to any responses received when preparing the SoCC?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Appendix E-2 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2) provides the consultation responses from Great Yarmouth Borough Council and Norfolk County Council in respect of the draft SoCC, paragraph 6.4.2 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) demonstrates how the Applicant had regard to their content.</p> <p>Examples of changes from the draft SoCC to the final SoCC include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Additional publicity exhibitions at Great Yarmouth Town Hall and County Hall, Norwich• Addition of diagram explaining DCO process• Addition of the Archive Centre, County Hall, Norwich as deposit location <p>In Norfolk County Council's comments on the draft SoCC they note a gypsy and traveller site on Gapton Hall Road and suggest changes to paragraph 5.11 of the Applicant's SoCC. The Applicant didn't alter the SoCC however they did arrange for a representative from Norfolk County Council's Gypsy, Roma and Traveller Team to visit in March 2019.</p> <p>The Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant had regard to the responses received when preparing the SoCC.</p>

16	Has the SoCC been made available for inspection in a way that is reasonably convenient for people living in the vicinity of the land; and has a notice been published in a newspaper circulating in the vicinity of the land which states where and when the SoCC can be inspected?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The final SoCC was made available at the following locations, which are reasonably convenient having regard to the location of the Proposed Development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Great Yarmouth Library, Tolhouse Street NR30 2SH • Gorleston Library, Lowestoft Road NR31 6SG • Priory Centre, Priory Plain NR30 1NW • Kingsgate Community Centre, 30 Queens Road, NR31 0LE • Great Yarmouth Town Hall, Hall Plain, NR30 2QF • The Archive Centre, County Hall NR1 2DQ <p>A notice stating when and where the final SoCC could be inspected was published in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eastern Daily Press, 3 August 2018 • Great Yarmouth Mercury, 3 August 2018 <p>The published SoCC notice, provided at Appendix F of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2) states where and when the final SoCC was available to inspect.</p> <p>Clippings of the published advertisements are provided at Appendix F of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2).</p>
17	Does the SoCC set out whether the development is EIA development ⁹ ; and does it set out how the Applicant intends to publicise and consult on the Preliminary Environmental Information?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Paragraph 5.51 of the final SoCC at Appendix E-3 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2) sets out that the development is EIA development and sets out how the Applicant intended to publicise and consult on the Preliminary Environmental Information.</p>
18	Has the Applicant carried out the consultation in accordance with the SoCC?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Table 6-3 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2) sets out how the Applicant has complied with the commitments set out in the final SoCC.</p> <p>Appendices G-2, G-11, G-3, G-1, H-1, H-2, F, J-1, J-2, G-1 to G-9, G-12, H-1, H-2</p>

⁹ Regulation 12 of the 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 10 of the 2009 EIA Regulations

and **G-10** of the **Consultation Report (Doc 5.2)** provide evidence that the commitments within the final SoCC have been carried out.

Although the Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant has carried out the consultation in line with the final SoCC, the following observation is noted with regards to certain commitments:

- During the original consultation from 7 September 2018 to 21 October 2018 21 figures from the PEIR were missing, the consultation was extended with all information available from 22 October 2018 to 9 December 2018.

Section 48: Duty to publicise the proposed application

19 Did the Applicant publicise the proposed application in the prescribed manner set out in Regulation 4(2) of the APFP Regulations?

Yes.

Paragraph 6.10.1 of the **Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)** states:

“The following Table 6-7 outlines the main consultation requirements of the Planning Act 2008 with regard to the statutory publicity, and how the Applicant has met these requirements..”

Table 6-7 of the **Consultation Report (Doc 5.1)** displays the newspapers and dates of s48 publicity as set out below.

A copy of the s48 notice is provided at **Appendix L-1** and **L-2** of the **Consultation Report (Doc 5.2)**.

Clippings of the published notices set out below are provided at **Appendix J-1** and **J-2** of the **Consultation Report (Doc 5.2)**:

		Newspaper(s)	Date
a)	for at least two successive weeks in one or more local newspapers circulating in the vicinity in which the Proposed Development would be situated;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eastern Daily Press • Great Yarmouth Mercury 	17 August 2018 and 24 August 2018 Extension advertised on: 26 October 2018
b)	once in a national newspaper;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Times 	24 August 2018 <i>Extended 26 October 2018</i>

c)	once in the London Gazette and, if land in Scotland is affected, the Edinburgh Gazette; and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> London Gazette 	24 August 2018 Extension advertised on: 26 October 2018
d)	where the proposed application relates to offshore development – (i) once in Lloyds List; and (ii) once in an appropriate fishing trade journal?	See box 21.	
20	Did the s48 notice include the required information set out in Regulation 4(3) of APFP Regulations?	Yes. The published s48 notice, supplied at Appendix J-1 and J-2 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2) , contains the required information as set out below:	

Information		Paragraph	Information		Paragraph
a)	the name and address of the Applicant.	Original: 1 Extended: 1	b)	a statement that the Applicant intends to make an application for development consent to the Secretary of State	Original: 1 Extended: 1
c)	a statement as to whether the application is EIA development	Original: 3 Extended: 2	d)	a summary of the main proposals, specifying the location or route of the Proposed Development	Original: 2
e)	a statement that the documents, plans and maps showing the nature and location of the Proposed Development are available for inspection free of charge at the places (including at least one address in the vicinity of the Proposed Development) and times set out in the notice	Original: 4 Extended: 4	f)	the latest date on which those documents, plans and maps will be available for inspection	Original: 4 Extended: 4
g)	whether a charge will be made for copies of any of the documents, plans or maps and the amount of any charge	Extended: 6	h)	details of how to respond to the publicity	Original: 5 Extended: 7

i)	a deadline for receipt of those responses by the Applicant, being not less than 28 days following the date when the notice is last published	Original: 5 Extended: 7	
21	<p>Are there any observations in respect of the s48 notice provided above?</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The original s48 Notices didn't state whether a charge will be made for copies of any of the documents, plans or maps and the amount of charge; this was corrected in the notification of the extension.</p> <p>The extended s48 Notices didn't give a summary of the main proposals, specifying the location or route of the Proposed Development; this was included in the original notification.</p> <p>The Applicant published the s48 notice for the extension of consultation once in each local newspaper circulating in the vicinity in which the Proposed Development would be situated.</p> <p>As the application includes a Deemed Marine Licence the Inspectorate suggests that the proposed application relates to offshore development. It is noted that the Section 48 notice was not published in Lloyds List and an appropriate fishing trade journal, because the Applicant considered the scheme was not offshore development, the Applicant's interpretation of 'offshore' is stated in paragraph 4.5.7 and 4.5.8 of the Consultation report (5.1). For completeness the Applicant is advised to publish their notice of acceptance of the application as described in Regulation 8(1) and 4(2)(d) of the Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009.</p>		
22	Has a copy of the s48 notice been sent to the EIA consultation bodies and to any person notified to the Applicant in accordance with the EIA Regulations ¹⁰ ?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>A copy of the s48 notice was sent to the EIA consultation bodies as part of the s42 consultation, as confirmed in paragraph 6.12.4 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1).</p> <p>A sample of the s42 consultation letter provided at Appendix L-1 and L-2 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2) confirms a copy of the s48 notice was enclosed.</p>	
s49: Duty to take account of responses to consultation and publicity			
23	Has the Applicant had regard to any relevant responses to the s42, s47 and s48 consultation?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Chapter 9 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) sets out how the Applicant had regard to the consultation responses received; including whether or not responses led</p>	

¹⁰ Regulation 13 of the 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 11 of the 2009 EIA Regulations

		<p>to changes to the application.</p> <p>The actions informed by the consultation responses appear to be reflected in the final form of the application as submitted. Where a particular response has not led to a change in the application, it is sufficiently clear that regard was had to it.</p>
Guidance about pre-application procedure		
24	To what extent has the Applicant had regard to statutory guidance 'Planning Act 2008: Guidance on the pre-application process' ¹¹ ?	<p>Paragraph 4.5 of the Consultation Report (Doc 5.2) states that the Applicant has taken into consideration all relevant statutory and other guidance.</p> <p>Having reviewed the application, the Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that it appears that the Applicant has identified and had regard to the relevant statutory guidance.</p>
25	Summary: Section 55(3)(e)	The Applicant has complied with Chapter 2 of Part 5 (pre-application procedure) of the PA2008.
s55(3)(f) and s55(5A): The application (including accompaniments) achieves a satisfactory standard having regard to the extent to which it complies with section 37(3) (form and contents of application) and with any standards set under section 37(5) and follows any applicable guidance under section 37(4)		
26	<p>Is it made in the prescribed form as set out in Schedule 2 of the APFP Regulations, and does it include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a brief statement which explains why it falls within the remit of the Planning Inspectorate; and • a brief statement that clearly identifies the location of the application site, or the route if it is a linear scheme? 	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Section 4 of the Application Form (Doc 1.3) explains why the development falls within the remit of the Planning Inspectorate.</p> <p>Section 5 of the Application Form (Doc 1.3) provides a brief non-technical description of the site and section 6 provides the location of the Proposed Development.</p> <p>A Location Plan (Doc 2.1) has been provided.</p>
27	Is it accompanied by a Consultation Report?	<p>Yes.</p> <p>The application is accompanied by a Consultation Report (Doc 5.1) and Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 5.2).</p>

¹¹ The Planning Inspectorate must have regard to the extent to which the Applicant has had regard to guidance issued under s50

28	Where a plan comprises three or more separate sheets, has a key plan been provided showing the relationship between the different sheets? ¹²	Yes.
29	Is it accompanied by the documents and information set out in APFP Regulation 5(2)?	Yes The documents and information required by APFP Regulation 5(2) are set out in the documents and locations within the application as listed below:

Information		Document	Information		Document
a)	Where applicable, the Environmental Statement required under the EIA Regulations ¹³ and any scoping or screening opinions or directions	Environmental Statement Volume 1 Main Text (Document 6.1); Environmental Statement Volume II: Technical Appendices (Document 6.2); Environmental Statement - Non Technical Summary (Document 6.5); and EIA Scoping Opinion (Document 6.7) – adopted by the SoS in May 2018.	b)	The draft Development Consent Order (DCO)	Draft Development Consent Order (Doc 3.1)
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
c)	An Explanatory Memorandum explaining the purpose and effect of provisions in the draft DCO	Explanatory Memorandum (Doc 3.2)	d)	Where applicable, a Book of Reference (where the application involves any Compulsory Acquisition)	Book of Reference (parts 1 to 5) (Doc 4.3)
	Is this of a satisfactory	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory	Yes

¹² Regulation 5(4) of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

¹³ The 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, the 2009 EIA Regulations

	standard?			standard?	
e)	A copy of any Flood Risk Assessment	Flood Risk Assessment (Technical Appendix 12B of Document 6.2)	f)	A statement whether the proposal engages one or more of the matters set out in section 79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (statutory nuisances) and if so how the Applicant proposes to mitigate or limit them	Statutory Nuisance Statement (Document 6.10) The document provides references to the Environmental Statement and other application documents where the applicant sets out how matters in section 79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (statutory nuisances) would be mitigated.
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
h)	A Statement of Reasons and a Funding Statement (where the application involves any Compulsory Acquisition)	Statement of Reasons (Doc 4.1) Funding Statement (Doc 4.2)	i)	A Land Plan identifying:- (i) the land required for, or affected by, the Proposed Development; (ii) where applicable, any land over which it is proposed to exercise powers of Compulsory Acquisition or any rights to use land; (iii) any land in relation to which it is proposed to extinguish easements, servitudes and other private rights; and (iv) any special category	Land Plans (Doc 2.5)

			land and replacement land	
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes (with minor discrepancies as noted in Box 30)
j)	A Works Plan showing, in relation to existing features:- (i) the proposed location or (for a linear scheme) the proposed route and alignment of the development and works; and (ii) the limits within which the development and works may be carried out and any limits of deviation provided for in the draft DCO	Works Plans (Doc 2.6)	k)	Where applicable, a plan identifying any new or altered means of access, stopping up of streets or roads or any diversions, extinguishments or creation of rights of way or public rights of navigation Traffic Regulation Measures Plans (Doc 2.3) Classifications of Roads Plan (Doc 2.4) Street Plans (Doc 2.7)
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes (with minor discrepancies as noted in Box 30)	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
l)	Where applicable, a plan with accompanying information identifying:- (i) any statutory/ non-statutory sites or features of nature conservation eg sites of geological/ landscape importance; (ii) habitats of protected	Environmental Constraints Plan (Document 6.4A) Figure 8.6, Statutory Designations of Document 6.3 (ES Figures, Chapter 8 & Appendices). Figure 10.3 Townscape Constraints Plan of Document 6.3 (ES Figures, Chapter 10). Water Bodies in a River Basin	m)	Where applicable, a plan with accompanying information identifying any statutory/ non-statutory sites or features of the historic environment, (eg scheduled monuments, World Heritage sites, listed buildings, archaeological sites and registered battlefields) together with Figures 9.1A - 9.1G of Document 6.3 (ES Figures – Chapter 10) depict Designated Heritage Assets; and Figures 9.2A – 9.2G of Document 6.3 (ES Figures – Chapter 10) depict Non-Designated Heritage Assets) An assessment of any effects on such sites and features is provided in Chapter 10 of the Environmental Statement

n)	species, important habitats or other diversity features; and (iii) water bodies in a river basin management plan, together with an assessment of any effects on such sites, features, habitats or bodies likely to be caused by the Proposed Development	Management Plan (Document 6.4B) Assessments of any effects on such sites and features are presented in: Chapters 8, 10 and 11 of the Environmental Statement (Document 6.1) and their supporting Appendices (Documents 6.2 & 6.3) Information to Inform the Habitats Regulations Assessment (Document 6.11).	o)	an assessment of any effects on such sites, features or structures likely to be caused by the Proposed Development	(Document 6.1).
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
	Where applicable, a plan with any accompanying information identifying any Crown land	NA		Any other plans, drawings and sections necessary to describe the development consent proposal showing details of design, external appearance, and the preferred layout of buildings/ structures, drainage, surface water management, means of vehicular and pedestrian access, any car parking and landscaping	General Arrangement Plans (Doc 2.2) Tree Preservation Orders and Conservation Area Tree Plans (Doc 2.8) Landscaping Plans (Doc 2.9) New Bridge Area Plan (Doc 2.14)
p)	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	NA	q)	Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
	Any of the documents prescribed by Regulation 6	Engineering Plans, drawings and sections (2.10)		Any other documents considered necessary to	Limits of Dredging Plan (Doc 2.11) Rights of Navigation Plan (Doc 2.12)

	of the APFP Regulations:			support the application	Harbour Limits Plan (Doc 2.13) Covering Letter and Schedule of Compliance with s55 Planning Act 2008 (Doc 1.1) Introduction to the Applicant and the Application (Doc 1.2) Completed and signed Application Form (Doc 1.3) Negotiations Tracker (Doc 4.4) Photomontages (Doc 6.12) Preliminary Navigational Risk Assessment (Doc 6.14) Equalities Impact Assessment (Doc 6.15) Outline Code of Construction Practice (Doc 6.16) Case for the Scheme (Doc 7.1) Transport Assessment (7.2) Transport Assessment Appendices (Doc 7.2a, 7.2b, 7.2c and 7.2d) Consents and Agreements Position Statement (Doc 7.3)
	Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
30	Are there any observations in respect of the documents provided above?				
	Section 51 advice will be issued to the Applicant in respect of certain matters, and will be available on the NI website: https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/eastern/great-yarmouth-third-river-crossing/?ipcsection=advice				

31	Is the application accompanied by a report identifying any European site(s) to which Regulation 48 of The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 applies; or any Ramsar site(s), which may be affected by the Proposed Development, together with sufficient information that will enable the Secretary of State to make an appropriate assessment of the implications for the site if required by Regulation 48(1)? ¹⁴	<p>Yes.</p> <p>A Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) Report is provided in Document 6.11, entitled 'Information to Inform the Habitats Regulations Assessment' of the DCO application.</p> <p>The HRA Report identifies relevant European sites and the likely effects on those sites. It is considered that the information provided in the report is adequate for acceptance.</p> <p>Note: the Examining Authority will be able to ask questions during the Examination. This may result in additional information being required to inform the HRA Report and the competent authority. Depending upon the type and availability of information required it may not be possible to obtain this during the statutory timetable of the Examination.</p>
32	If requested by the Planning Inspectorate, two paper copies of the application form and other supporting documents and plans ¹⁵	<p>Yes</p>
33	Has the Applicant had regard to statutory guidance 'Planning Act 2008: Application form guidance', and has this regard led to the application being prepared to a standard that the Planning Inspectorate considers satisfactory?	<p>Yes</p> <p>The Introduction to the Applicant and Application (Doc 1.2) explains how the Applicant has had regard to statutory guidance on the form of the application.</p> <p>The Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant has demonstrated regard to the guidance principles.</p>
34	Summary - s55(3)(f) and s55(5A)	<p>The Planning Inspectorate concludes that the application (including accompaniments) has been prepared to a standard that it considers satisfactory.</p> <p>In respect of the discrepancies identified in Box 30 of this checklist, to help facilitate an efficient and effective examination of the application s51 advice has been provided to the Applicant in conjunction with the decision to accept the application. That advice is published on the National Infrastructure Planning website, here: https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/eastern/great-yarmouth-third-river-crossing/?ipcsection=advice</p>

¹⁴ Regulation 5(2)(g) of the APFP Regulations

¹⁵ Regulation 5(2)(r) of the APFP Regulations

The Infrastructure Planning (Fees) Regulations 2010 (as amended)

Fees to accompany an application

35	Was the fee paid at the same time that the application was made ¹⁶ ?	The fee was received on 29 April 2019; before the application was made.
----	---	---

Role	Electronic signature	Date
Case Manager	<i>Dee Allen</i>	28 May 2019
Acceptance Inspector	<i>Dominic Young</i>	28 May 2019

¹⁶ The Planning Inspectorate must charge the Applicant a fee in respect of the decision by the Planning Inspectorate under section 55 of the PA2008. If the Applicant fails to pay the fee, the Planning Inspectorate need not consider the application until payment is received. The fee must be paid at the same time that the application is made

